



12-03-03
EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EV 396 737 994 US

PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No.: 13768.373

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
)
Erik Olson et al.)
)
Serial No.: 10/600,683) Art Unit
) 2127
Filed: June 20, 2003)
)
Confirmation No.: 4994)
)
For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR MITIGATING)
CROSS-SITE SCRIPTING)

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAIL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.10

I hereby certify that the following documents are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on the 2nd day of December 2003.

- Transmittal for Information Disclosure Statement (3 pages)
- Information Disclosure Statement (2 pages)
- Form PTO-1449 listing 4 reference (2 pages)
- A copy of listed reference
- Postcard

Respectfully submitted,

CARL T. REED
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 45,454

WORKMAN NYDEGGER
1000 Eagle Gate Tower
60 East South Temple
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 533-9800
Facsimile: (801) 328-1707

CTR:dfw

W:\13768\373\DFW0000008769V001.DOC

X Form PTO-1449 list of 4 references submitted for consideration.

☒ Legible copies of the listed references or their relevant portions.

☐ All English translations of each non-English reference, if any, within the possession, custody, control or availability of anyone designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(c)).

The following are included within the Information Disclosure Statement if applicable and as required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98:

☐ Concise explanation of relevance of each reference not in English and unaccompanied by an English translation.

☐ Statement that certain listed references not enclosed are substantially cumulative of an enclosed reference.

☐ Statement that certain listed references not enclosed were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in the identified prior application which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120.

☐ Legible Copies of previously filed Information Disclosure Statement for application Serial No. _____, filed _____.

In order to secure consideration of the items designated above, one or more of the following, if required, is also enclosed:

☐ Promptness Certification.

☐ Check No. _____ in the amount of \$240.00 constituting submission fee under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(p);

☐ Petition for Consideration and Check No. _____ in the amount of \$_____.

☒ In the event that 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) applies and the Examiner is not satisfied that the Promptness Certification meets the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e), or in any other event remediable by a fee, please credit any over payment or charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 23-3178 of the undersigned.

Dated this 2nd day of December 2003.

Respectfully submitted,



CARL T. REED
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 45,454

022913

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE
CUSTOMER NUMBER



EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EV 396 737 994 US

PATENT APPLICATION

Docket No.: 13768.373

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Erik Olson et al.

Serial No.:

10/600,683

Filed:

June 20, 2003

Confirmation No.:

4994

For:

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR MITIGATING
CROSS-SITE SCRIPTING

)
)
)
)
) Art Unit
) 2127
)
)
)
)
)
)

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please find, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(1), the enclosed Form PTO-1449 which contains a list of all patents, publications, or other items that have come to the attention of one or more of the individuals designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c). While no representation is made that any of these references may be "prior art" within the meaning of that term under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 or 103, the enclosed list of references is disclosed so as to fully comply with the duty of disclosure set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

Moreover, while no representation is made that a specific search of office files or patent office records has been conducted or that no better art exists, the undersigned attorney of record

believes that the enclosed art is the closest to the claimed invention (taken in its entirety) of which the undersigned is presently aware, and no art which is closer to the claimed invention (taken in its entirety) has been knowingly withheld.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, a copy of each of the listed references or relevant portion thereof is also enclosed.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(c), all English translations within the possession, custody, control or availability of anyone designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) of each nonenglish reference, if any, are also enclosed.

Dated this 2nd day of December.

Respectfully submitted,



CARL T. REED
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 45,454

022913

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Applicant: Erik Olson et al.

Confirmation No.: 4994

Serial No.: 10/600,683

Att'y Docket No.: 13768.373

Filing Date: June 20, 2003

Group: 2127

For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR MITIGATING CROSS-SITE SCRIPTING

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANTU.S. Patent Documents

Examiner <u>Initial*</u>	Document <u>Number</u>	Issue <u>Date</u>	<u>Name</u>
-----------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------	-------------

Foreign Patent Documents

Examiner <u>Initial*</u>	Document <u>Number</u>	Publication <u>Date</u>	Country or <u>Patent Office</u>	<u>Translation</u>
-----------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------

Other Documents

(including author, title, pertinent pages, etc.)

Examiner <u>Initial*</u>	
-----------------------------	--

- | | |
|---------|--|
| _____ 1 | M. Fratto, <i>Host Intrusion Prevention</i> , Network Computing, Vol. 13, No. 2, October 21, 2002, pp. 34-40. |
| _____ 2 | Anup K. Ghosh, <i>Code-Driven Attacks: The Evolving Internet Treat</i> , IEEE, Third Information Survivability Workshop, October 24-26, 2000, pp. 73-76. |
| _____ 3 | Karen A. Casella, <i>Security Administration in an Open Networking Environment</i> , LISA IX, September 17-22, 1995, pp. 67-73. |
| _____ 4 | Timothy Dyck, <i>First Crack in Open Hack, Cross-Site Scripting Attack Came in First Hours of Test</i> , EWeek, Vol. 19, No. 43, October 28, 2002, pp. 9-10. |

Examiner:

Date Considered:

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Erik Olson et al.

Confirmation No.: 4994

Serial No.: 10/600,683

Att'y Docket No.: 13768.373

Filing Date: June 20, 2003

Group: 2127

For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR MITIGATING CROSS-SITE SCRIPTING

References Cited by Applicants

While the filing of Information Disclosure Statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), an explanation of relevance of each reference not in the English language, and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiners will consider all citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form. Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as references cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1," "A2," etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A," "B," "C," etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.

W:\13768\373\DFW0000008770V001.doc

Examiner:

Date Considered:

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.
